

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)



Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/051052

International filing date (day/month/year)
09.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)
10.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
C07D221/12, A61K31/473

Applicant
ALTANA PHARMA AG

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Fink, D

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8701



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/051052

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application,
 claims Nos. 15 and 16 (as regards industrial applicability)

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 15 and 16 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
 the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
 no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.
 the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.

See separate sheet for further details

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/051052

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1, 9-16
	No: Claims	2-8
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-14
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

10/591766

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 01 SEP 2005

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/051052

Re Item III.

The present **claims 15 and 16** relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT.

Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims.

[For the assessment of the aforesaid claims on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a (known) *compound for first use in medical treatment* and the *use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament* for a new medical treatment.]

Re Item V.

The following documents (D) are considered to be relevant:

- D1:** WO-A-97/35854 (2 October 1997);
- D2:** WO-A-99/05113 (4 February 1999);
- D3:** WO-A-00/42020 (20 July 2000);
- D4:** WO-A-2004/019944 (**11 March 2004**);

1. NOVELTY (Article 33(2) PCT):

The present application satisfies the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 1-16** is new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT):

The compounds of present **claim 1** are novel over the prior art **D1 - D3** on account of the *oxy substituent* attached to either position 2 or 3 of the phenanthridine ring (cf., the definitions of the present substituent groups R4 and R5 according to which either R4 represents *-O-R41* (and R5 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl) or R5 represents *-O-R51* (and R4 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl)).

They are furthermore novel over **D4** (published on **11 March 2004**) on account of the present substituent group **R7** (the 6-phenyl group of the present 1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridin-(2- or 3-)-ol derivatives has to be substituted with a *thio-containing* substituent (cf., the definitions of the substituent groups R6 and R7 of **D4** which do *not* comprise a *thio-containing* group)).

2. INVENTIVE STEP (Article 33(3) PCT):

The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 2-8** does not appear to involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT):

2.1. It would appear that the present **claims 1 and 9-16** are **fully entitled** to the presently claimed **first** priority date of **10.03.2004**.

Accordingly, the document **D4** - which is published on **11.03.2004** - may not be taken into account for the assessment of the question of inventive step.

The compounds of the present **claim 1** differ from the compounds of **D1 - D3** in that they have an *oxy substituent* attached to either position 2 or 3 of the phenanthridine ring (cf., the definitions of the present substituent groups R4 and R5 according to which either R4 represents **-O-R41** (and R5 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl) or R5 represents **-O-R51** (and R4 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl)).

In the light of this prior art the **problem** to be solved by the compounds of the present **claim 1** resides in the provision of further 6-phenyl-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridine derivatives useful as *PDE4 inhibitors*.

The said problem has been **solved** by the compounds of the present **claim 1** (cf., the activity data (*PDE4 inhibition*) of table a on page 59 of the present description).

Given the fact that none of the prior art documents **D1 - D3** suggests phenanthridine compounds with a 2- or 3- *oxy substituent*, it is considered that the present solution (i.e., the subject-matter of the present **claim 1**) may be regarded to be **non-obvious** in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of the present **claims 1 and 9-16** involves an inventive step as set forth in the Article 33(3) PCT.

2.2. It would furthermore appear that the present **claims 2-6** are **only entitled** to the

second priority date of **01.02.2005**, and
the present **claims 7** (cf., the definition of the substituent group R7) and **8** (see, the last
three compounds) only to the present filing date of **09.03.2005**.

Accordingly, the document **D4** - which is published on **11.03.2004** - is considered to
represent state of the art in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Again, the compounds of the present **claims 2-8 differ** from the compounds of the prior art
D1 - D3 essentially only in that they have an *oxy substituent* attached to either position 2 or
3 of the phenanthridine ring (cf., the definitions of the present substituent groups R4 and
R5 according to which either R4 represents *-O-R41* (and R5 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl) or
R5 represents *-O-R51* (and R4 is hydrogen or 1-4C-alkyl)).

In the light of this prior art the **problem** to be solved by the compounds of the present
claims 2-8 resides in the provision of further 6-phenyl-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-
phenanthridine derivatives useful as *PDE4 inhibitors*.

The said problem has been **solved** by the compounds of the present **claims 2-8** (cf., the
activity data (*PDE4 inhibition*) of table a on page 59 of the present description).

Given the teaching of **D1 - D3**, on the one hand, and **D4**, on the other hand, it is
considered that the present solution does not appear to involve an inventive step for the
following reasons:

It is known from e.g. **D1** that 6-phenyl-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridine
derivatives possess *PDE4 inhibitory* activity (see, for instance, the table a of **D1** according
to which e.g.

(+/-)-8,9-Dimethoxy-6-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridine
(compound 7) inhibits *PDE4*: $-\log IC_{50} = 6.44$, and

(+/-)-8,9-Dimethoxy-6-(**4-nitrophenyl**)-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridine (compound 5) inhibits *PDE4*: -log IC₅₀ = 7.22).

It is furthermore known from **D4** that the corresponding *6-phenyl-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridin-2-ol* derivatives likewise possess *PDE4 inhibitory* activity (see, for instance, the table a of **D4** according to which e.g.

(+/-)-8,9-Dimethoxy-6-(**3,4-dimethoxyphenyl**)-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridin-2-*ol* (compound 10) inhibits *PDE4*: -log IC₅₀ = 8.71 , and
(+/-)-8,9-Dimethoxy-6-(**4-nitrophenyl**)-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridin-2-*ol* (compound 11) inhibits *PDE4*: -log IC₅₀ = 9.11).

As the documents **D1 - D3** already teach the *PDE4 inhibitory* activity of several *6-[(thio-containing group)phenyl]-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridine* derivatives, the person skilled in the art would have expected that the corresponding *6-[(thio-containing group)phenyl]-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydro-phenanthridin-2-ol* derivatives would also display (some) *PDE4 inhibitory* activity.

It is therefore considered that - in the absence of any *unexpected / surprising effect* - the compounds of the present **claims 2-8** have to be regarded to be **obvious** in the light of the teaching of **D1 - D3** and **D4**.

3. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (Article 33(4) PCT):

The subject-matter of the present **claims 1-14** concerns chemical compounds, pharmaceutical compositions and the use of chemical compounds for the production of pharmaceutical compositions and is therefore considered to be industrial applicable in the sense of Article 33(4) PCT.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.